


EGYPTIAN ACADEMIC JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES ENTOMOLOGY



ISSN 1687-8809

WWW.EAJBS.EG.NET

Vol. 17 No. 4 (2024)



ISSN 1687- 8809 http://eajbsa.journals.ekb.eg/



Morphology, Damage Symptoms and Biology of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Strains in Egypt

Kreema A. EL-Lebody^{*} ; Rabab D.A. Allam and Amira M. Rashad Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt *E-mail: weqaytfcorp@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO Article History Received:24/9/2024 Accepted:26/10/2024 Available:31/10/2024

Keywords:

Spodoptera frugiperda; morphology; damage symptoms; biology.

ABSTRACT

Fall armyworm (FAW) *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) is a destructive pest of corn. FAW invaded Egypt in May 2019 and was recorded for the first time in corn plantations in Kom Ombo - Aswan Governorate. Recently *S. frugiperda* C-strain was Confirmed in Nile corn plantation in Meet AL - Deeba village, (Qillin-Kafr El-Sheikh); while R-strain was confirmed in summer corn plantations of Abo Al- Gadeil, (Qillin-Kafr El-Sheikh) during corn season 2022. Moreover, The R strain of *S. frugiperda* infested corn plants beside uninfected neighbourhood rice fields. This observation indicated that, R- strain of *S.frugiperda* preferred summer corn plants as a host than rice.

Recent evidence of both strains collected from corn fields of Kafer EL Sheikh were identical morphologically and cause same damage symptoms.Biology of S. frugiperda C-strain and R-Strain were studied under natural conditions of field crop pests' laboratory at Plant Protection Research Institute Dokki, Giza, Egypt during Autumn and summer season (2022). C-strain larvae of S. frugiperda were fed on castor oil leaves. The average duration of life cycle and generation time at 23.0±2.0°c was 39.0±2.0 and 44.1±1.6 days, respectively. The corresponding averages for S. frugiperda R- strain corn - feed through early 3 instars at 28.0±2.0°c were 27.0±0. 5 and 29.0±0.6 days, respectively. The average of pre-oviposition periods $\{3.5\pm0.7 \text{ and } 2.6\pm0.2 \text{ days}\}$ included the pre-mating period of 2.80 ±0.56 and 1±0.03 days for S. frugiperda C-strain and R- strain, respectively. The S. frugiperda C- strain virgin females deposited infertile eggs when the pre-mating period increased more than 4 days at 26.0±2.0°c and 75.0±5.0 RH. These results are important for planning effective integrated control against fall armyworm to prevent it's rapid spread in Egypt.

INTRODUCTION

Fall armyworm (FAW), Spodoptera Frugiperda (J-E. Smith) is a lepidopteran polyphagous, migratory and invasive pest feed on leaves, stems and reproductive parts of economically important crops causing severe damage. This pest has two strains These strains are morphologically identical but differ genetically (Lu and Adang, 1996; Lewter *et al.*, 2006 and Nagoshi*et al.*, 2007). In Indonesia, Herlinda *et. al.* (2022) classified *S. frugiperda* genetically to *S. frugiperda* corn strain (C-strain) and rice strain (R- strain).

It invaded Egypt in May 2019 and was recorded for the first time in corn plantations in KomOmbo, Aswan Governorate according to the Agricultural pesticide committee, Ministry of Agriculture. After that, it spread in corn fields through Egypt. Also, Mohamed et.al. (2022) recorded S. frugiperda in Sorghum fields in Assuit Governorate, 2021 season. El-Lebody et al. (2024), revealed the presence of S. frugiperda C-strain in corn plantations, but they recorded *S.frugiperda*R-strain only in summer corn plantations of Meet AL-Deeba, Qillin, Kafer El-Sheikh, Egypt, in rice producing area during season 2022. Kaute et. al (2019) indicated that, both S. frugiperada C- Strain and R-strain may share the same host. Moreover, Herlinda et al. (2022) reported that, both strains prefer the corn plants as a host. Maharani et al. (2021) reared S. frugiperda on corn (corn-fed) to observe the life cycle and life table parameters in comparison with those of rice-fed S. frugiperda. They found that, the corn was more suitable host for it's growth, development and reproductive capacity. They added, the corn-fed S. frugiperda with supportive environment reproduce and multiply faster than S. frugiperda rice-fed. Rajisha et al. (2022) reported that, the corn plants aged 2weeks were more suitable for growth and development of *S. frugiperda* first three instars compared to corn plants aged 35- 60 days, while the duration of larval late instars was not affected. Fatoretto et al. (2017), Herlindaet al. (2022) and Maharani et al. (2022) indicated that, the rapid resistance evolution of S. frugiperda was affected by it's biology, ecology and genetic compositions. Also, the morphology and damage symptoms as well as life cycle and life table parameters of S. frugiperda are important for both farmers and specialists to discover the pest and increase of it's population. The former items are essential for planning appropriate control strategies against S. frugiperda.

The present study recoded all life stages of the *S. frugiperda* in corn fields along with its attack appearance. Moreover, life cycle, some reproductive features and generation time (T) of both *S. frugiperda* C- strain and R –strain are recorded under the conditions of summer and autumn 2022, in Egypt.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

S. frugiperda Occurrence and Damage Symptoms:

Surveys were carried out sequentially in both summer and Nile corn plantations in 2 villages of Qillin city, Kafer EL Sheikh Governorate, Egypt, during season 2022. The collected samples of infested summer plants aged 33 days were collected from Abo Al – Gadial fields on 3rd August. While, infested Nile plants aged 40 days, were collected from Meet AL-Deeba on 17th October. The plants were dissected to exclude larvae to identify morphologically and record its damage symptoms according to Mohamed *et al.* (2022) and Herlinda *et al.* (2022). Excluded larvae of Abo AL- Gadial were subjected to genetic identification by El-Lebody *et al.* (2024) and confirmed (twice) as *S. frugiperda* R-strain (Accession Number, OP647509 & OP649580), while Meet AL-Deeba collected larvae were confirmed as *S. frugiperda* C-strain (Accession Number, OQ920981).

Separately, larvae of the strains (about 50 / each) were immediately kept in plastic containers (15x8x5cm) with punctured cover cemented with toilet paper and provided daily with youthful corn foliage to feed on until pre-pupal stage under natural conditions of the laboratory. The newly emerged moths were paired and kept in glass jars (1/2 kg) covered with muslin cloth with rubber bands. The pairs were replicated 5 times as one moth of each sex in each rep. The moths were fed on a 10% sucrose solution to lay eggs.

Biological observations of the first generation for both *S. frugiperda* C- strain and R- strain under $23\pm2^{\circ}$ c and $28\pm2^{\circ}$ c during Autumn and summer, respectively were recorded. The egg incubation period, the alive percent of immature stages, duration of larval and pupal stages, life cycle (from egg to adult emergence), adult malformation, sex ratio and generation

time (from egg to first deposited egg of the next generation) were registered.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Spodoptera frugiperda Occurrence, and Damage Symptoms:

The present results *confirmed* that *S. frugiperda* infests corn in fields of Abo-Al-Gadial and Meet AL-Deeba, Qellin, Kafer El Shiekh, Egypt, with incidence 100 % in both summer corn aged 33 days on 3rd August and Nile corn aged 40 days on 17th October, respectively. In this respect, Herlinda *et. al.* (2022) found that, maximum incidence of *S. frugiperda* was recorded after 21 to 43 days of sowing date. However, the first occurrence of this pest in Egypt was recorded in a village of Kom Ombo city in May 2019, then it was recorded in all corn and sorghum fields through Upper Egypt (Mohamed *et al.*, 2022).

The results showed presence of creamiest or pale green (rarely, coppery) egg masses in one or more layer(s) covered with female's scales on both apixal and adixal of leaves as well as on the whorl of plants; (Figs. 1 & 2 -A, B & C). This result agrees with that recorded by Russianzi *et al.* (2021), who reported that, the scales were to protect its temperature. Also, Bhusal and Kamana (2019) recorded egg masses on both leaf surfaces. While, Julio *et al* (2017) found that, females laid their egg masses on the apixal of the newly leaves. On contrary, Rajisha *et al.* (2022) reported that, females prefer to lay their egg masses in 2 to more layers on the adixal of corn leaves.

In addition, the egg mass color turned to black just before hatching due to forming of the neonate head capsule; (Fig.1 D &E) The same result was illustrated by Poul and Sonali (2020) and Russianzi *et al.* (2021).




Fig. 1: S. frugiperda egg masses, different ages with their colors.




Fig. 2: S. frugiperda egg -position sites on corn leaf.

Kreema A. EL-Lebody et al.

However, young larvae (1-3 instars) eating caused transparent patches on the adixalof corn leaves; (Fig. 3 A & B). Larval 4th instar causes more rigorous scratches with small irregular holes on the leaves; (Fig. 3 C). The later instars (5th& 6th) cause big holes and biting of leaf edge; (Fig. 4 A) and may eat the whorl partially or totally; (Fig.4B) causing death of corn plants and consequently replanting corn fields. Also, presence of larval faeces like sawdust on leaves is a good symptome of this pest; (Fig. 4 C). The body of the later instars have many colors; (Fig.5 A). The larvae from 3rd to 6th larval instars can be identified morphologically by presence of 4 black pincula forming a square shape on the 8th abdomen segment; (Fig.5 B). The full-grownlarvae shrunk its body to form the pre- pupae; Fig. 6 (A). The newly pupae are green in color; Fig. 6 (B), then turned to dark reddish-brown color. The same characteristically features of *S. frugiperada* were recorded by Poul & Sonali (2020), Russianzi *et al.* (2021), Rajisha *et al.* (2022) and Sharma *et al.* (2022).



Fig. 3: Leaf – feeding of young larvae $(1^{st}$ - $3rd^d$ instars) and more vigorous patches by 4^{th} larval instar.




Fig. 4: Leaf feeding of older larvae.



Fig. 5: A. S.frugiperdacolors, B. black pincula



Fig. 6: Pre and new pupae

Host Preference of S. frugiperda:

Recently it was observed that the R-strain of *S. frugiperda* infested summer corn plantations neighbouring uninfected rice fields in Abo AL-Gadail village. Kuate *et al.* (2019) recorded that the same host may be share by *S frugiperda* R-strain and C- strain. Also, Maharani *et al.* (2021) proved that, *S. frugiperda* prefered the corn than rice plants **Biological Observations of S. frugiperda** C- strain:

The first Laboratory generation of *S. frugiperda* C- strain was reared on castor leaves (castor- fed larvae) under $23\pm2^{\circ}$ C during autumn season. Life cycle, sex ratio, some reproductive features and generation time (T) were recorded.

Data in Table (1) clarify that, the egg incubation period of *S. frugiperda* C-strain ranged 5- 6 days with an average of 5.5 ± 0.2 days with hatching 100%. Also, the larval stage lasted 14-18 days with an average of 16 ± 1.4 days, including 1 to 2 days for the pre-pupal stage. In this regard, Gamil (2020) studied the biological aspects of the 4th generation of *S. frugiperda* in incubator at $26\pm2^{\circ}$ c and RH % 65 ± 5 and found that, the egg incubation period was 2.9 days (hatchability 97.33%) and the larval stage lasted 21.4 ± 0.59 days. Also, Sharma *et al.* (2022) recorded 3 days for incubation period and 2– 4 weeks for maize–fed larvae at 26°c and 75% RH. Dahi *et al.* (2020) reported that, the duration of *S. frugiperda* larval stage is affected by host type and rearing conditions.

Immature stage	Egg	Larvae	\bigcirc pupae	∂ pupae	
Duration (days)	4 - 6 5.5 ± 0.2	14 - 18 16 ± 1.4	16 - 19 17.8 ± 1.2	16 - 21 19±1.9	
Mortality %	0.0	36.5	37	7.5	

Table 1: Durations (day) and mortality percent in C – Strain Immature Stages at $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C.



Fig. 7: S. frugiperda cannibalism appearance

However, the mortality percentage of castor fed -*S. frugiperda* C-strain larvae was 36.5% Table (1) and the most mortality occurred in 5th & 6th instars. Mortality by pathogens

and cannibalism was few; (Fig. 7). Similarly, Poul and Sonali (2020) reported that, Cannibalism was significantly higher in late larval instars, 5th and 6th, than in early ones.

Also, Russianziet al. (2021) Indicated that, there was a positive relation between the mortality and the age of S. frugiperda population. In contrary, Sharma et al. (2022) recorded that, the mortality were 70 & 12 %, for the 1st and 2nd instars, respectively but no mortality was found in later ones. However, Gamil (2020) cleared that, the larval mortality was 8.8% of castor-fed S. frugiperda in the fourth generation. Present study cleared that, the duration of female pupae of Castor-fed S. frugiperda C- strain $(17.87 \pm 1.2 \text{ days})$ was shorter than that of males (19.2 \pm 1.9) under (23 \pm 2°c). The total pupal mortality recorded 37.5% (Table 1). Similarly, Poul and Sonali (2020) reported that, the duration of female pupae was slightly shorter than that of males. Moreover, these findings are almost in agreement with those recorded by Russianzi et al. (2021) who reported that, the S.frugiperda females emerged before males to ripen their eggs before mating. As for moth emergence and malformation, Table (2) show that, the adult emergence of S. frugiperda C- strain reached 100 % with 15.8 and 25% malformation for females and males, respectively. In this respect, Gamil (2020) reported that, the S. frugiperda adult emergence of the fourth laboratory generation was 96% with 1.08% malformation. However, in the present study adult emergence of S. frugiperda C- strain continued for 6 days and the females emerged before males by 1 to 2 days. This result is supported by that of Russianzi et al. (2021) who reported that the insect femaleshave apre-mating period to ripen their eggs. The present results confirmed that the life cycle ranged 33-35 days and 34-38 days with an average of 34.2 \pm 0.78 and 36.3 ± 1.3 days for females and males, respectively, Table (2). These results may agree with those of Rajisha et al. (2022), there was no significant difference between the total life cycle of S. frugiperda females and males. Also, Maharani et al. (2021) found that, the life cycle of corn fed-S. frugiperda was shorter than that fed on rice.

Sex ratio between the normal moths of *S. frugiperda* C-strain at $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C was 1:1; Fig (8) & Table (2). (Gamil 2020) reported that there was balance in sex ratio $(1.2 \bigcirc : 1 \bigcirc)$ of castor leaves- fed *S. frugiperda* at $26\pm 2^{\circ}$ c and 65 ± 5 RH%. Also, Maharani *et al.* (2021) found that, the sex ratio of both corn- fed and rice-fed *S. frugiperda* was not affected by the host type. On other hand, they found that, mating process and fecundity of *S. frugiperda* were not affected by the balance of its sex ratio.

0		-		P		
Sex	%			Days		
	Emergence	Malformation	Sex ratio	Life cycle	* Pre- oviposition	Т
9	100	15.8	50	$\begin{array}{c} 33\text{-}35\\ 34.2\pm0.78\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3-5\\ 3.5\pm0.7\end{array}$	42.3 -47.3
5	100	25.0	50	34-38 36.3± 1.3	-	44.0 ± 1.6

Table 2: Biological aspects of C – strain moths at $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C.

It included pre - mating period for females ranged 2-4 days and averaged 2.8 ± 0.56 days, and 0.0 for males



Fig.8: S. frugiperda imagos.

Morphology, Damage Symptoms and Biologyof Spodoptera frugiperda

S. frugiperda C-Strain females have a pre-mating period of 3 - 4 days with an average of 2.8 ± 0.56 days for ripen their eggs before mating (Table2); this result was supported by Russianzi *et al.* (2021). In contrast, the males of *S.frugiperda* C-strain can copulate on the same day of emergence. Observations (unpublished data) referred that, the pre-mating period lasted more than 4 days at $26\pm2^{\circ}$ c and 75 ± 5 RH% of *S. frugiperda* C-strain caused increasing the pre-ovipo -sition period and dramatically decreased eggs production (only 7: 10 eggs /3 $^{\circ}$) with female sterility (zero hatchability). On the other hand, pairing of virgin females (5 days old) with virgin male (one day old) causes elongation in female life span (28 days) while the male lived only for 3:7 days. However, Rogers and Marti (1994) reported that, pairing of *S. frugiperda* females and males aged 2 days resulted in the highest reproduction capacity and fertility %, while delaying mating increased female life span. Also, our observations confirmed by Rupali *et al.* (2023) who concluded that, any technique delays mating such as disruption pheromones may successfully control this pest.

However, *S. frugiperda* C- strain started laying eggs immediately the next day of mating. The pre - oviposition period was 3.5 ± 0.5 days (Table 2). The mated female laid several egg masses with grey scales. These egg masses were repeated many times through the ovi-position period (9.0±0.9 days). The maximum daily deposited eggs was on the 2nd day of ovi- position period with a capacity of 187.5 egg / female with a total reproductive fitness of 500 fertile eggs / female of the 1st generation. Gamil (2020) recorded 3.5 and 5.1 days for pre and oviposition period, respectively in the 4th generation at 26±2°c and 65±5 RH%. The reproductive capacity was 1787.5 ± 91 egg / female. On the other handRussianzi *et al.* (2021) found that, the corn –fed *S. frugiperda* produced females with a pre ovi- position period as 2-6 days and 10 days as the ovi- position. Maharani *et al.* (2021) studied the highest number was on the 2nd day of ovi- position. Maharani *et al.* (2021) studied the reproductive parameters of both corn-fed and rice fed-*S. frugiperda* and found that, pre oviposition periods lasted 2.3 and 2.7 days and ovi- position periods of 13 and 11 days with about1599 and 398.87 eggs / female, respectively.

However, in the present study, mean generation time (T) for castor-Fed S. frugiperda C strain at 23 +2°C averaged (44 ±1.6) days, (Table 2). Maharani *et al.* (2021) found that, the corresponding T for Corn-fed and rice-fed S. frugiperda reared at 25.7°c and 78 RH% was 35.27 ± 0.6 and 39.36 ± 0.41 days, respectively. They concluded that, this variation is due to the host type and other environment -tal factors, the population of S. frugiperda increased faster with the supportive factors and suitable host. In this context, the use of S. frugiperda natural enemies will be ineffective against this pest.

Biological observations of S. frugiperda R-strain:

As for R strain data in Table (3) show some biological parameters of the first laboratory generation of *S. frugiperda* R-Strain under $28\pm2^{\circ}$ c. The incubation period was 2 ± 0.4 days. Early larval instars (1st, 2nd& 3rd) were fed on corn seedling while the older ones (4th, 5th& 6th) were fed on castor oil leaves. The duration of the larval stage was 18 ± 0.6 days (included 1-2 days for pre-pupae). The pupal stage lasted 7 ± 0.7 days. It is clear that *S. frugiperda* R-strain completed their life cycle in 27 ± 0.4 days (Table 3). Similarly, Rajisha *et al.* (2021) found that the *S. frugiperda* egg incubation period, larval and pupal stages ranged 2-3, 13-20 and 7-11 days, respectively. and added, corn plants aged 15 days was more suitable for growth and development of early instars than those aged (35-60) days, Duration of late instars were not affected and the life cycle was 29 days. Russianzi *et al.* (2021) reported that, the life cycle of the same lasted 32.26 days with no significant differences between females and males' life cycle.

Stage	Egg	Larvae	Pupae	Life cycle	Pre- ovipostion	Т
Days	2 - 3	18 - 20	6 – 8	26 – 29	2 - 3	28-31
	2±0.48	18±0.6	7±0.49	27±0.4	2±0.3	29±1.5

Table 3: Durations of R– Strain different Stages at $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C.

Egg hatching and adult emergence were 100%, sex ratio 1:1 and pre mating for females was a day.

The present results revealed that, the *S. frugiperda* R-strain females have only one day for pre-mating while the males can copulate on the same day of emergence. The pre-oviposition period was 2 ± 0.2 days. So, the generation time (T) of corn- fed *S. frugiperda* R-strain lasted 29.0 ±0.6 days under $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C.

However, the genetic composition, morphology, damage symptoms, life cycle, life table, ecology are important for identifying life stage, understanding the behaviour of the pest, determining the best time and technique for planning IPM strategies against *S. frugiperda* (Maharani *et al.* (2021), Rajisha *et al.* (2022) and Sharma *et al.* (2022). **Conclusions**

Present study revealed that, S. frugiperda C- strain and R-strain injured both summer and Nile corn Plantations at Qillin -Kafr - EL Sheikh. Both strains are typical morphologically and cause the same damage symptoms. Moreover, in the rice area; it was observed that S.frugiperda R-straine prefers corn plant as a host than rice during summer season where there was no infestation in neighbourhood rice fields in Oillin -Kafr - EL Sheikh, Egypt. Therefore, more effort should be directed to survey the host range, host preference and to specify the presence of both strains of S. frugiperda in Egypt. Additionally, the Egyptian conditions in summer are more suitable and supportive for S. frugiperda growth and development than that in autumn (T= 29 ± 0.6 and 44 ± 1.6 days, respectively). On the other hand, the host and /or environmental temperature didn't influence S. frugiperda sex ratio. Biologically, adult females didn't lay eggs without mating behaviour occurrence. Under optimum conditions, elongation of pre - mating period more than 4 days after females' emergence of C-strain decreased the reproductive capacity with laying unfertilized eggs. Recent results support the idea of recommending use of mating disruption pheromone in IPM strategies to prevent laying fertilized eggs and hinder the rapid spread of this dangerous pest.

Declarations:

Ethical Approval: Not applicable.

Authors Contributions: All authors contributed equally, and have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts Interests: No conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Availability of Data and Materials: All datasets analysed and described during the present study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Source of Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgements: Not applicable.

REFERENCES

Bhusal,K. and Kamana B. (2019): A review on fall armyworm. (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) and its possible management options in Nepal. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 7(4): 1289-1292.

Dahi, H.F., Salem, S.A., Gamil, W.E. and Mohamed, H-0. (2020) Heat requirements for the fall armyworm *S.frugiperda* (J-E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) as a new

Invasive pest in Egypt. Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences, (A.Entomology), 13(4): 73-85.

- El-Lebody, Kreema A.; Rasha G.Salim; Ghadal-Sayed and Shaymaa H. Mahmoud (2024). Identification and Genetic Diversity of *Spodoptera frugiperda*J.E.Smith (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) In Egypt. *Agronomy*,2024, 14, 809, https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ agronomy 14040809.
- Fatoretto, J. C., Andrew. M., Marcia C. B. F. and Nestor B. (2017). Adaptive Potential of Fall Armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Limits Bt Trait Durability in Brazil. *Journal of integrated pest management*, (1) 17; 1-10.
- Herlinda,S., R. Suhaja., M. E. Sinaga., F. Fawwazi and S. Suwandi. (2022). First Report of Occurrence of Corn and Rice Strains of Fall Armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda in South Sumatra, Indonesia and Its Damage in Maize. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, 21.412-419.
- Kuate,F. A., Hanna, R, Doumtsopfotio., A.R. P. Abang., A. F. and Nanga, S. N. (2019). Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Cameroon: Case study on its distribution, damage, Pesticide use, genetic differentiation and host plants, *PLoS ONE*, 14(4): eo215749. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal pone:0215749 PMID: 31034480.
- Lewter, J.A., Szalanski, A.L. Nagoshi, R.N., Meagher, R.L., Owens, C.B., Luttr-ell,R.G. (2006). Genetic variation within and between strains of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Florida Entomologist*,89(1) 63-68 .http://www.fcla.edu/FlaEnt/fe89p63.pdf
- Lu Yangliang, and Adang, M.J. (1996). Distinguishing fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) strains using a diagnostic mitochondrial DNA marker. *Florida, Entomologist*, 79(1)48-55.
- Maharani, Y., D. Paspitaningrum., N. Istifadah., S.Hidayat., and Ismail.(2021). Biology and Life Table of Fall Armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Maize and Rice. *Serangga*, 26(4) 161-174.
- Mohamed, H O, A.H. El Heneidy., Hassan F.Dahi., Azza A.A. (2022).First record of the fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on sorghum. Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences, (A. Entomology), Vol 15 (1) PP: 15-23.
- Nagoshi, R.N., Silvie, P., Meagher, R.L., Lopez, J., Machado, V.,2007. Identification and comparison of Fall Armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains in Brazil, Texas ,and Florida. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 100(3) 394-402.
- Poul, N. and Sonali, D. (2020). Biology of Fall Armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Maize Crop at Raipur (Chhattisgarh). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 9(9) 1732-1738.
- Rajisha, Ps., N, Muthukrishnan, S, J. Nelson., R.Jerlin, P. Marimuth and R, Karthikuvαv. (2022). Biology and Nutritional Indices of The Fall Armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (Je Smith) on Maize. Indian journal of entomology, 84(1):92-96.
- Rogres, C.E. and Marti, O.G. (1994). Reproductive Potential of Once Mated of the Fall Army Worm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Florida Entomology*, 77 (4) PP 402:410.
- Rupali J.S., Ramya N., Sagar D., Vinod K. P., vidya Madhari E. v. and Subramanian S. (2023). Reproderative behaviour in different aged adults of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith). *Current Science*, vol. 125 (3): 309-316.
- Russianzl, W., R. Anwar and H. Triwidodo. (2021). Biostatistics of fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda in maize plants in Bogor, West Java, Indonesia.

Biodiversitas, 22(6):3463-3469

- Sharma,S., S. Tiwari., IL.B. Thapa. S. Neupane., G.V.P. Reddy., S. Pokhrel and R. Muniappan. (2022). Life Cycle and Morphometric of Fall Armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Maize Crop. SAARC Journal of Agriculture, 20 (1) 77-86).
- Walaa E. Gamil (2020): Fall Armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda(J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Biological Aspects as A New Alien Invasive Pest in Egypt. Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (A. Entomology), Vol 13 (3) PP: 789-196.

ARABIC SUMMARY

Spodopterafrugiperda (J.E.) دراسة مورفولوجية وبيولوجية ومظاهر الضرر لسلالتي دودة الحشد الخريفية (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) تحت الظروف الطبيعية في مصر

كريمة اللبودي * رباب علام وأميرة رشاد معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات، مركز البحوث الزراعية، الدقي، الجيزة، مصر

تعتبر دودة الحشد الخريفية (FAW) Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. Smith) التابعة لرتبة حرشفية الاجتحة آفة مدمرة لمحصول الذرة. وقد غزت هذه الأفة مصر في مايو 2019 وتم تسجيلها لأول مرة في زراعات الذرة في إحدى قرى مدينة كوم امبو - محافظة أسوان. ومؤخرًا، تم تأكيد وجود سلالة الذرة Trugiperda corn strain في إحدى قرى مدينة كوم امبو - محافظة أسوان. ومؤخرًا، تم تأكيد وجود سلالة الذرة S.frugiperda corn strain)) في زراعات الذرة النيلية في قرية ميت الديبة (قلين-كفر الشيخ)، بينما تم تأكيد وجود سلالة ارز . (C-strain)) في زراعات الذرة النيلية في قرية ميت الديبة (قلين-كفر الشيخ)، بينما تم تأكيد وجود سلالة ارز . (S.frugiperda corn strain) في زراعات الذرة الصيفية في أبو الجدايل (قلين-كفر الشيخ) خلال موسم الذرة . والترادة 2022. علاوة على ذلك فإن سلالة الأرز قد أصابت نباتات الذرة الموجودة بجوار حقول الأرز الغير المصابة بها. وأشارت هذه الدراسة إلى أن سلالة الأرز فضلت نباتات الذرة الصيفية كعائل في منطقة إنتاج الأرز وأظهرت النتائج أن وأشارت هذه الدراسة إلى أن سلالة الأرز فضلت نباتات الذرة الصيفية كعائل في منطقة إنتاج الأرز وأظهرت النتائج أن

تمت در اسة بيولوجيا أول جيل معملي من سلالة الذرة C-strain وسلالة الأرز R-strain في ظل الظروف (منوسط ±23 $^{\circ}$ وسلالة الأرز R-strain الطبيعية لمختبر آفات المحاصيل الحقلية بمعهد وقاية النبات بالدقي الجيزة، مصر أثناء الخريف (متوسط ±23 $^{\circ}$ م) والصيف (متوسط 2 ± 2.00 $^{\circ}$ م) على التوالى. وكانت مدة دورة الحياة (من طور البيض حتى خروج الفراشات 39.0) والصيف (متوسط 2 ± 2.00 $^{\circ}$ م) على التوالى. وكانت مدة دورة الحياة (من طور البيض حتى خروج الفراشات 40.00 $^{\circ}$ م) على التوالى. وكانت مدة دورة الحياة (من طور البيض حتى خروج الفراشات 40.00) والصيف (متوسط 5.00 $^{\circ}$ م) على التوالى. وبلغ متوسط فترة ما قبل وضع البيض (0.7 ± 2.5 $^{\circ}$ و 2.0.± 2.00 $^{\circ}$ شمل فترة ما قبل وضع البيض (1.00 ± 2.5 $^{\circ}$ و 4.0.± 2.00 $^{\circ}$ شمل فترة ما قبل التراوج (4.05 ± 2.00) ورد 4.00 $^{\circ}$ و 4.00 $^{\circ}$ ورد 4.00 $^{\circ}$ ما قبل التراوج (4.05 $^{\circ}$ 2.00 $^{\circ}$ ورد 4.00 $^{\circ}$ ورد 4.00 $^{\circ}$ ما قبل التراوج (4.05 $^{\circ}$ 2.00 $^{\circ}$ 2.00 $^{\circ}$ ما قبل فترة ما قبل الذرة والأرز على التوالي. وبذلك تكون مدة الجيل (4.00 $^{\circ}$ 4.00 $^{\circ}$ 4.00 $^{\circ}$ 0.00 $^{\circ}$ 7.00 $^{$

تبين أن زيادة فترة ما قبل وضع البيض لإناث سلالة الذرة إلى 5 أيام عند قد ينتج عنه وضع كميات قليلة جدا من البيض و كان غير مخصب عند 2.0 ± 26.0 درجة مئوية و 75.0 ± 5.0 ٪ رطوبة نسبية.

قد تسهم نتائج هذه الدراسة الحالية فى تعريف المزار عين بأطوار هذه الأفة وأعراض الضرر المصاحبة لها بشكل دقيق وكذلك أهميتها للتطبيقين والباحثين في التخطيط لبرامج مكافحة متكاملة فعالة ضد دودة الحشد الخريفية في مصر مع ضرورة استخدام فيرمون تشتيت التزاوج كأحد العوامل الصديقة للبيئة والمبتكرة لمكافحة الآفات لمنع الانتشار السريع لهذه الأفة الشرسة.